#### High Speed Optical Networks--Experiments

### Professor Gary M. Carter Dr. Anthony Lenihan and Professor Curtis Menyuk

University of Maryland Baltimore County

UMIACS/LTS Review 6/18/04



1



Robert Runser Telcordia, LTS

L. Mercer Naval Research Laboratory

T. Gibbons, P. Schulz MIT Lincoln Laboratory



# Goals—Past year(s)

- Carry out experiments on installed optical communication systems
- To identify and investigate issues of interest in helping establish high speed operation of optical networks



# This year's effort

- We have shown in laboratory experiments that dispersion distribution is important for communications performance
- We moved these experiments from the lab to ATDNET/BossNet
- Implications for network management
- Investigated novel formats that can increase performance and relax constraints



# Nonlinear Interaction

- Dispersion causes adjacent pulses to spread in time and overlap for part of the transmission distance
- The nonlinear interaction due to the optical fiber between adjacent pulses leads to timing jitter
- The dispersion distribution can alter the amount of timing jitter
- Large amounts of timing jitter causes errors

LINARC

#### Nonlinear Interaction—Frequency Shift



Intensity variation of  $\mathcal{U}_T$  shifts frequency of  $\mathcal{U}_L$  UMBC

#### Residual compensation all at the end





# Nearly closed eye and large error rate due to timing jitter



UMBC

#### Split compensation between beginning and end





#### Open eye end low bit-error rate



10

# Laboratory Results

- Intra-channel cross-phase modulation  $\rightarrow$  timing jitter
- Dependent on dispersion map configuration
  - Most work to date on undersea transmission systems
  - Mitigated by symmetric pre- and post-compensation
- Laboratory investigation of terrestrial system
  - Xu, et al., IEEE Phot. Tech. Lett. 16, 314 (2004)



#### Experiments on ATDNET/BossNet

- Measure timing jitter at 10 Gb/s on an installed terrestrial fiber path
- Obtain excellent qualitative agreement between
  measured values and numerical simulations
- Arrangement of dispersion compensation important for obtaining best transmission



# Installed fiber path



One way distances: Segment 1 (ATDNet):

- TrueWave RS (+4.5 ps/nm-km), 41 km
- Uncompensated

#### Segment 2

- AllWave (+17 ps/nm-km), 16 km
- Uncompensated

#### Segment 3 (BOSSNet):

- TrueWave Classic (+2.8 ps/nm-km)
  - To Wilmington: 186 km
  - To New York City: 389 km
- Compensated at 1550 nm
- Uneven EDFA spacing



### Experimental setup



# **Dispersion maps**



15

I IMBC ]

- Not including pre- and post-compensation
- Total accumulated dispersion for round trip at 1558.98 nm

# Numerical model

Timing jitter results compared with numerical simulation:

- Full nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- 200 Monte Carlo simulations
- Utilizing best estimate of system parameters:
  - Dispersion map
  - Amplifier noise figures
  - Power map



# Eye diagrams



50 % Pre-compensation





90 % Pre-compensation



## Wilmington loopback results



- Total transmission distance ~520 km
- Symmetric dispersion compensation minimizes timing jitter
- Excellent qualitative agreement with theory



## New York loopback results



- Total transmission distance ~930 km
- Jitter increased, but similar trend





- Timing jitter measured on installed terrestrial link
- Qualitative agreement with simulations
- Ability to control dispersion compensation layout will be important in typical terrestrial systems may influence network management when paths change





- Explore Novel formats
- DPSK
- QPSK
- QPSK and PolMux
- Others



# DPSK

- Phase modulated signal
- 3 dB advantage over ASK
- Constant amplitude pulses
- Less "patterning"
- Security implications



#### **DPSK Transmitted Intensity Pattern**

#### 10 Gb/s



UMBC

#### Receiver





#### Demodulated Eye Pattern—10Gb/s



UMBC

#### DPSK Loop Back from LPS to ISI

#### **Error Rate** < 1 @ 10<sup>-13</sup>





### DPSK

- 3 dB performance advantage over ASK
- Less Pattern Dependence in Transmission maybe smaller timing jitter
- More difficult to detect—need specialized receiver
- Provides different information to network management



New Directions for Upcoming Year

• We are working with LTS to adapt measurements and theory to interactions with system and optical control plane

• LTS Personnel: Mark Ciccarello, Dave Hardesty, and Walter Kaechele

